D2EUFERC 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 10 CR 863(AKH) v. 5 JOE FERNANDEZ, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. February 14, 2013 9 3:00 p.m. 10 Before: 11 12 HON. ALVIN K. HELLERSTEIN 13 District Judge 14 APPEARANCES 15 PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: TODD W. BLANCHE 17 RUSSELL CAPONE JOHN P. CRONAN 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 MURRAY RICHMAN Attorney for Defendant 20 BY: BRIAN PAKETT 21 22 23 24 25

1	THE DEPUTY CLERK: United States v. Fernandez.
2	Counsel, state your appearances for the record.
3	MR. BLANCHE: Good afternoon, your Honor.
4	Todd Blanche, John Cronan and Russell Capone, for the
5	government.
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon, everyone.
7	MR. PAKETT: Good afternoon, your Honor.
8	Brian Pakett, of counsel to the office of Murray
9	Richman on behalf of Mr. Fernandez, to my right.
10	THE COURT: Be seated everyone.
11	The first step is to arraign Mr. Fernandez on the
12	superseding indictment.
13	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Mr. Fernandez, please rise.
14	You are Joe Fernandez?
15	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I am.
16	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have you seen a copy of the
17	superseding indictment?
18	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, I did.
19	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have you discussed it with your
20	attorney?
21	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
22	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Would you like me to read it to
23	you?
24	THE DEFENDANT: No, thank you.
25	THE DEPUTY CLERK: How do you plead?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Not guilty.
2	THE COURT: A plea of not guilty will be entered on
3	behalf of Mr. Fernandez.
4	Is there any additional activity that is required by
5	this affidavit as related to the prior indictment?
6	MR. BLANCHE: No, your Honor. It was just to clean it
7	up for trial, your Honor. The other defendants on the original
8	indictment have pleaded guilty and so we just cleaned up the
9	indictment, your Honor.
10	THE COURT: From the point of view of the defense, do
11	you agree, Mr. Pakett?
12	MR. PAKETT: Yes, we do, your Honor.
13	THE COURT: Are we ready for trial; the trial is, I
14	think, supposed to begin on Tuesday?
15	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: The government will be ready?
17	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Defense will be ready?
19	MR. PAKETT: Yes, we will, your Honor.
20	THE COURT: How many days of trial do you anticipate
21	it will be?
22	MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, I believe eight days, as far
23	as evidence from the government.
24	THE COURT: Do you know your witnesses?
25	MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Have you given the list of witnesses to Mr. Pakett? 2 3 MR. BLANCHE: Mr. Pakett has all of the 3500 material 4 so, yes, he has a list of all of the witnesses, not the order. 5 We have discussed that with Mr. Pakett and Mr. Richman. 6 will get that to them later today or tomorrow, at least the 7 first five or six witnesses so he knows who is coming first. THE COURT: Apart from the issues I have to decide, 8 9 Mr. Pakett, are there any things that you need for trial? MR. PAKETT: Yes. I have drafted an order with 10 11 respect to clothing for my client. 12 THE COURT: Hand it up and I will sign it. 13 Is he in the MDC or MCC? 14 MR. PAKETT: MCC. 15 MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, just having spoken with the marshals, one request on that order is that the defendant be 16 allowed to wear his own socks. The Bureau of Prisons may not 17 18 allow his own socks, so if he is not allowed to have his own 19 socks, he will just have to wear prison issue. The marshals 20 were not positive about that, but in any event, the government 21 does not object to the request made. 22 THE COURT: What should I write on socks? 23 MR. BLANCHE: I would say, if allowed under BOP 24 regulations.

THE COURT: I will write "three pairs of socks, if

25

is not a problem? problem? a problem, your Honor. der, Mr. Pakett.
is not a problem? problem? a problem, your Honor. der, Mr. Pakett.
problem? a problem, your Honor. der, Mr. Pakett.
a problem, your Honor.
a problem, your Honor.
der, Mr. Pakett.
r Honor.
ning issue from the defense
nan, though I am concerned
two iPads during the
that you should give to
d I will sign it.
to discuss talk to
so that we can make sure
you, your Honor.
ernment going to deal with
ve have provided the

exhibits to defense counsel already. We anticipate having all

the exhibits loaded into an electronic program, Sanctions, so we anticipate setting up a large screen tomorrow, I believe, in your Honor's courtroom.

THE COURT: Tomorrow afternoon.

MR. BLANCHE: Yes.

And we expect that most of the exhibits, if there is a hard copy, it will be shown to the witnesses, for example, a photograph, but it will then be displayed through Sanctions for the jury and, obviously, the Court and the defendant to see. There are a few exceptions to that, for example, the medical examiner is going to use a blow-up, we expect, instead of the screen but, for the most part, every exhibit --

THE COURT: Whatever is given to the jury should be given in multiple copies so that we could avoid delay as one juror passes it to the next.

MR. BLANCHE: We don't anticipate giving anything to the jury as far as where they will need to pass anything around, except for, potentially, ammunition or bullets, but nothing that would require multiple copies.

THE COURT: I will ask you just to hold those up unless you need individual touch.

MR. BLANCHE: No problem.

THE COURT: How about your side, Mr. Pakett, any issue with exhibits?

MR. PAKETT: None at this time.

THE COURT: You don't have to ask me for permission to approach a witness. You don't have to ask me for permission to begin. If you need to approach, you approach, just do it professionally and don't abuse the privilege.

The rail between you and the jury is neutral territory; try not to put anything there such as papers or exhibits or anything else or lean on it. It is a separation.

I don't require that you stand rigidly at the podium when addressing the jury. If you like to walk, you can but, again, don't abuse the system.

My policy is to allow you to conduct a trial the way it is comfortable to you.

Objections are one word, "objection." I don't mind

"objections." If you feel that there is an objection that is
appropriate, exercise it and I will rule. If I need more
information, I will call you to the sidebar. My policy is to
reduce to the point of zero the need for sidebars. You can ask
me for it, but if I don't see the need for it, I won't grant
it. If there is a particular problem that you anticipate, let
me know about it in advance. Objections are appropriate as
well for openings and closings. I have no rule limiting
objections except I don't want speaking objections.

For the government's sake in opening and closing, one person does both. The Assistants should not plan that one does an opening and one does a rebuttal -- I'm sorry -- you can

alternate who does the opening and who does closing, but in terms of closing, opening on closing and rebuttal on closing, it must be the same Assistant.

MR. BLANCHE: So --

THE COURT: If you are doing it, you need to do both the opening of the closing and the rebuttal of the closing.

MR. BLANCHE: Understood. Our expected order had been Mr. Capone was going to give the opening statement. Mr. Cronan was going to give the summation, and I was going to give the rebuttal because there are three of us. Your Honor is saying, Mr. Capone can give the opening, but whoever gives the summation also does the rebuttal?

THE COURT: Right.

The method of jury selection, I think I discussed with you before, have I?

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor, you have.

THE COURT: You know that you will be exercising peremptories from your chair?

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. We discussed that last time, yes.

THE COURT: The trial day begins at 10 and goes as close to 5 as possible -- have I told you this already -- with a break for lunch of an hour and a quarter and a mid morning break, to the extent that the jury wants one and a mid afternoon break to the extent the jury wants one.

I don't plan to sit on the 22nd, Friday, on the 27th, the 28th -- I will be out of the city so we will not sit -- until March 1. Wednesday, Thursday and Friday of that week, I will be out of town. So we will go just the 25th and 26th that week. You should be finished with your direct case, if all goes to plan, the beginning of the following week.

MR. BLANCHE: That gives us four trial days?

THE COURT: I think that is wrong.

MR. BLANCHE: In the first two weeks, four trial days?

THE COURT: We will pick the jury on the 19th, the 20th and 21st, we have three trial days, we probably need the whole week.

MR. BLANCHE: Sure. Your Honor, I believe that schedule -- yes, we agree, depending on the length of cross, but certainly in the beginning of that third week, Monday, Tuesday or Wednesday, we will definitely be resting.

THE COURT: Does the government or the defense have any specific voir dire questions to propose to me?

MR. BLANCHE: I believe both parties submitted requests to voir dire.

THE COURT: I was out of town last month. OK.

I like to tell the jury what the case is about in summary fashion and read the indictment as well. So if you would like, Mr. Blanche, to write a description of the case and then give it to Mr. Pakett or Mr. Richman for their comment, in

addition, a description of defenses and give it to me and I
will consider that in terms of describing the case to the jury.

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will also need a list of witnesses and

THE COURT: I will also need a list of witnesses and places and items of that nature that I should read to the jury so, again, would you take the first chance at that, Mr. Blanche, and give it to Mr. Pakett, Mr. Richman and give it back to me. They should be arranged in alphabetical order.

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before we get into the motions, is there anything else that you want to ask me, Mr. Blanche?

MR. BLANCHE: It is not a motion in particular but just, your Honor, to put on the record any plea discussions in light of recent Supreme Court cases.

THE COURT: You would like that to happen. Thank you for reminding me. We will do that as soon as we finish this.

MR. BLANCHE: Sure.

THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. Blanche?

MR. BLANCHE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pakett?

MR. PAKETT: Just very specifically, Mr. Richman had asked me ask the Court -- we are scheduled to start trial on Tuesday at 10 a.m., is my understanding. He has a scheduled sentence before Judge Preska at 10 a.m.

THE COURT: We will start at 10:30.

Off the record. 1 (Discussion off the record) 2 3 THE COURT: Back on the record. 4 Then let's go to the motions. 5 Has the 3500 material been given to defense? 6 MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. As we discussed with 7 Mr. Pakett, there are one or two other witnesses the government has not decided for sure whether we are going to call. We will 8 9 decide that in the next couple of days. If we do, we will give 10 it to them right away. Their 3500 material will be very, very 11 All the other 3500 was turned over two days ago, your 12 Honor, and a little more today. 13 THE COURT: Any comments about those, Mr. Pakett? 14 MR. PAKETT: No, your Honor. We have received all 15 3500 material, as Mr. Blanche has represented. 16 THE COURT: I take that these coveted DD5s, to the extent that they relate to witnesses. Early in the case, Mr. 17 18 Richman moved to receive police reports related to the 19 investigation of the case which are called DD5s. I denied the 20 motion --21 MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: -- based on the government's statement 23 that they contain no Brady or Giglio material. And I ruled 24 that the defense was not entitled to the reports.

Whatever is of the Brady or Giglio nature has been

part of the production you have made, is that right, Mr. Blanche?

MR. BLANCHE: That is correct.

THE COURT: The defense moves to preclude the use of Fernandez's statement made to Darge and the confidential witness while at the MCC.

My rulings entail the possibility of an evidentiary hearing with regard to statements. I don't want to delay the trial.

Is there going to be an issue on this, Mr. Blanche?

MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, the government does intend
to elicit questions from Patrick Darge about the conversation
he had. The last conference your Honor had talked about
possibly having a very brief voir dire outside the presence of
the jury of Mr. Darge before he starts testifying. We
anticipate he will be the first week of trial, but not the
first day, we don't think. We are happy to do whatever the
Court wants as far as that, whether it is the morning he is
going to testify -- we anticipate asking him three or four
questions about any direction the government gave him as far as
communicating with the defendant. We expect he is going to say
absolutely positively none, and defense could cross him on
that, and we believe that would answer the questions for the
Court.

THE COURT: If I conduct an evidentiary hearing before

the opening, you can possibly, depending on my ruling, make reference to those in opening.

MR. BLANCHE: That's true. If we did it before the opening, we could use it in our opening. It is not in the opening right now because we were expecting any hearing or voir dire to be after the opening.

THE COURT: When do you want me to have it?

MR. BLANCHE: Our preference would be to do it the morning he is testifying, just because we are bringing many cooperators — not many, but at lease one, sometimes two cooperators each day and the defendant is in custody, so bringing Mr. Darge on a day where we already have other cooperators is problematic, just logistically. We agree we won't open on those statements. Unless the defense wants to know before they open, we would prefer the morning —

THE COURT: How about Wednesday morning?

MR. BLANCHE: Wednesday morning is perfect. That is fine, your Honor.

And then we will either open Tuesday afternoon or Wednesday morning? Is that what the Court is expecting?

THE COURT: Well, you will open when we finish selecting the jury.

MR. BLANCHE: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pakett.

MR. PAKETT: My only issue, your Honor, was, we were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

speaking with respect to Patrick Darge. My understanding is that there will be similar testimony from a government witness who was to have been my client's bunkie in MCC, and I apologize to the Court, I do not have his name offhand.

MR. BLANCHE: Yubel Mendez.

MR. PAKETT: We would ask for a similar inquiry outside the presence of the jury with respect to Mr. Mendez.

THE COURT: When do you want to do it?

MR. BLANCHE: Mr. Mendez, we expect to call later in the trial.

THE COURT: How about Wednesday morning?

MR. BLANCHE: How about Wednesday morning as well?

THE COURT: For Mr. Mendez. We will do it later.

When do you want to do it?

MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, the government --

THE COURT: Tell me the day. It is a 15-minute deal.

Tell me the day before.

MR. BLANCHE: Perfect. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Those are the only ones?

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Read those names again.

MR. BLANCHE: Patrick Darge, D-A-R-G-E, and Y-U-B-E-L

23 M-E-N-D-E-Z.

THE COURT: In my notes I have Suero, Reyes and Rodriguez-Mora. Those are not going to be involved?

1 MR. BLANCHE: No, your Honor. That is correct. 2 THE COURT: Are there any other open motions, Mr. 3 Pakett? 4 MR. PAKETT: Yes, I believe there is, your Honor. 5 With respect to the government's in limine motion, I 6 believe they had asked the Court to admit a statement from 7 Mr. Jose Rodriguez who the government is representing would be unavailable at trial based on the fact that his attorney has 8 9 informed the government that he would be pleading the Fifth. 10 THE COURT: What would you like me to do, Mr. Pakett? 11 MR. PAKETT: We would ask that the People be precluded 12 from going into that statement at all, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Well, if he is precluded from testifying 14 by the Fifth, he is unavailable. 15 MR. PAKETT: Understood, your Honor. THE COURT: It is up to you. If you want me to hold a 16 17 voir dire, whether or not he will exercise his Fifth Amendment rights, I am glad to do it. If you want to waive that, I will 18 19 also do that. I will do whatever you want. 20 MR. PAKETT: We would not waive that. We would wish 21 the Court to do a voir dire. 22 THE COURT: Then we would need a voir dire. 23 MR. BLANCHE: Mr. Pakett referenced Jose Rodriguez. 24 Jose Rodriquez is pending extradition in Spain and is 25

unavailable because of that.

25

THE COURT: Just prove it. Put it on the record. 1 MR. BLANCHE: The other person is Manuel Aladino 2 3 He pled quilty last week. We gave his attorney a 4 subpoena to testify. And his attorney indicated -- and we will 5 provide that information to defense counsel -- he will plead 6 the Fifth and will not testify at trial. I believe there is 7 Second Circuit case law that we are happy to provide the Court and the defense that, given those circumstances, it is not 8 9 necessary to call the witness in front of the jury or even in 10 front of your Honor. 11 THE COURT: You can have his lawyer come here in court 12 and testify as to what his client will do, and that will be 13 sufficient. 14 MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. 15 MR. PAKETT: For clarification, I apologize to the When I said Jose Rodriguez, I meant Mr. Aladino Suero. 16 17 THE COURT: What do you want me to do with Mr. Suero? 18 I am not going to compel Mr. Suero to come here, and it is not 19 going to be before the jury. 20 MR. PAKETT: Understood. 21 THE COURT: I will just tell the jury that we will 22 hear Mr. Suero's testimony by deposition because he is not 23 available. 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: If you want something else, let me know.

24

25

I will, your Honor. 1 MR. PAKETT: 2 THE DEFENDANT: Do you know what you want now? 3 MR. PAKETT: I do not. 4 THE COURT: So Mr. Richman can either take the 5 representation, Mr. Blanche, with as much detail as he 6 considers appropriate, or if he wants to have Suero's lawyer 7 come in, you and Mr. Blanche can discuss a convenient time and 8 place for that. 9 MR. PAKETT: I will. I will speak to Mr. Richman and 10 I will speak to Mr. Blanche and we will work that out. 11 THE COURT: As to the extradition, it seems to me that 12 Mr. Blanche can make a representation with as much as detail as 13 is appropriate to show that the witness is not available. 14 MR. BLANCHE: Just so that your Honor is aware, Mr. 15 Cronan submitted a declaration as part of the motion, and that was submitted on December 12th of last year, explaining the 16 17 steps that we have gone through to make him available. And I 18 think when your Honor talks about putting something on the 19 record, the declaration should certainly cover that, to show 20 the Court and the defense that Mr. Rodriguez-Mora is in fact unavailable because he is pending extradition. 21 22

THE COURT: Have you read it?

MR. PAKETT: Yes, we have. We would be in agreement that Mr. Rodrigues-Mora is unavailable.

THE COURT: Then I will tell the jury that he is not

available and his testimony will be by deposition.

Mr. Cronan, this information stated in your declaration is up-to-date?

MR. CRONAN: It is, your Honor. We have been in regular contact with our embassy in Spain and the extradition is still pending.

MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, there is no deposition or anything that we are seeking to offer. The testimony that we anticipate offering involving these two witnesses' statements are statements that they made to others who will testify.

THE COURT: In order for you to get that in, you have to show declarant is unavailable.

MR. BLANCHE: That's why we are going through this step, and then assuming it is otherwise admissible — which we believe it is — for various reasons, depending on the statement in question, because they were unavailable, we cross that first threshold question.

THE COURT: Mr. Pakett, you will state your objection at the time and I will overrule the objection on the ground that the witness is not available.

MR. PAKETT: Understood, your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything else that is open?

MR. PAKETT: Not from the defense, your Honor.

MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, the only other in limine motion that your Honor didn't specifically rule on, I don't

believe, is, Patrick Darge is going to testify. He was one of the shooters, and Mr. Fernandez was the other shooter. He is going to testify, we expect, that when he gets asked to commit the murders, he recruits his cousin Mr. Fernandez to do it with him. We expect he will say that one of the reasons he recruited Mr. Fernandez as opposed to somebody else is that he knew that Mr. Fernandez had committed crimes and he knew that from conversations with him — and not anything like the murders that are at issue in this case — robberies and burglaries and things like that.

Your Honor, the government certainly thinks this is admissible to give the jury background and explain why Mr. Darge went to Mr. Fernandez. And we certainly know and expect that Mr. Richman and Mr. Pakett are going to accuse Mr. Darge of lying about going to get Mr. Fernandez, so we certainly would like to get a ruling about the admissibility of that.

At the last conference, we went back and forth with your Honor about why it would be or would not be admissible. That is still open.

I think your Honor's ruling was that you will wait and see. If Mr. Richman opens on the fact that Patrick Darge is a liar or something like this, you would be inclined to allow it in, but that is still open at this point, your Honor.

THE COURT: I will think a little more about it. What is your position, Mr. Pakett?

MR. PAKETT: Obviously, we would object to any testimony from Mr. Darge speaking with reference to Mr. Fernandez about other crimes. First of all, that would be prejudicial to Mr. Fernandez. Mr. Darge is simply going to say what the government in the 3500 material we have reviewed — without any firsthand knowledge, simply that Mr. Fernandez committed other crimes because that's what he was told.

THE COURT: If it is relevant, in terms of what

Mr. Darge thought; so the fact of whether he did or did not is

not relevant, what is relevant is what is in Mr. Darge's mind.

The next question is whether every expression in his mind, specifically, crimes is relevant. It seems to me this is a subject that you are going to wish to explore on cross-examination, Mr. Pakett?

MR. PAKETT: Absolutely, your Honor.

THE COURT: In that case, I don't see anything wrong with the government anticipating that by creating a context for the conversation. So I think it is relevant and admissible from what I have been told, however, I don't think it should be mentioned in the opening.

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Is there anything else that is open?

MR. BLANCHE: I don't think anything else is open.

Any other issues, obviously, we are still working with ${\tt Mr.}$ Pakett and ${\tt Mr.}$ Richman.

We will get your Honor the witness names and places in advance of the voir dire, exhibit lists and the like in the next day or two, your Honor.

THE COURT: Get Mr. Dworkowtz's email for easier communication.

MR. BLANCHE: Sure. We left your Honor two copies of government exhibit binders and two sets of the 3500 material so that the Court has that as well.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.

I think that served my purposes here.

Anything else?

MR. BLANCHE: Just the plea discussion, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you for reminding me again.

Put it on the record.

MR. BLANCHE: Your Honor, we have had no discussions with defense counsel of any substance about a disposition short of trial of this case. Based upon those discussions with defense counsel, that's because Mr. Fernandez isn't interested in a disposition that would require him to admit his guilt as being a murderer, so we have not made a formal offer. There has been no plea agreement given to Mr. Fernandez or his counsel up to this point, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Pakett.

MR. PAKETT: That is correct, your Honor. We have told Mr. Blanche from the very outset that Mr. Fernandez has

maintained his innocence. He does not have an interest in a plea of any sort, therefore, we have had no plea discussions.

THE COURT: I would like to say a word or two to Mr. Fernandez directly on this subject.

Mr. Fernandez, I am going to say what I am about to say, not because I don't have complete trust in your lawyers, but I feel it is my duty to do this as a judge.

Every defendant has a constitutional right to trial. It is guaranteed by the Constitution.

Every defendant also has the right to discuss with the government the possibility of a plea in exchange for a recommendation by the government to me as the sentencing judge as to what might be a reasonable and appropriate and just sentence. Usually, the government's recommendation is considered very seriously by the judge. So if the government might recommend a sentence lower than that that could be provided by the guidelines, the judge will consider that very seriously. Therefore, defendants have the right to have their lawyers discuss with the government the possibility of a plea.

Furthermore, the law tends to be skeptical wherever human beings are involved. We know that there are economic interests by some lawyers -- not Mr. Richman, not Mr. Pakett -- who want to try cases because they feel they could make more money trying the cases or they can make a reputation trying cases and get more clients that way.

So the law, being the way it is and skeptical about all people, wants to make sure that the defendant himself knows that he has this right to have the plea discussions with the government and wants the judge to make sure that the defendant understands that right, and that's why I talk to you directly.

So you have the right to have Mr. Richman and Mr. Pakett and, in some circumstances, yourself, go to the government and say, Look, Mr. Government, I want to plead quilty. What are your terms?

If the government insists on a plea to the crimes stated in the indictment and you don't want to plead, you want to try the case, that's your business. That's your right. But sometimes you don't know until you negotiate and ask. And it might be a crime lesser than that stated in the indictment which the government might accept in exchange for some form of punishment recommendation.

So you have all of these opportunities, and I hear that you have decided that you are not interested, in any event, that you wish to go to trial. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: That's correct.

THE COURT: Is there anything that I have said that causes you to have some question?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: You are perfectly clear as to your rights?

THE DEFENDANT: I understand everything that you have

1 said. THE COURT: You are comfortable in your decision to 2 have this case tried? 3 4 THE DEFENDANT: That's correct. 5 THE COURT: Exercising your constitutional rights 6 requiring the trial by the government and proof by the 7 government beyond a reasonable doubt is the only way that you could be convicted. 8 9 I don't know if I said that elegantly enough. 10 other words, unless the government proves the case alleged 11 beyond a reasonable doubt to the unanimous satisfaction of all 12 the jurors, you can't be convicted. Do you understand that? 13 THE DEFENDANT: I understand. 14 THE COURT: And you would like to have that right, that is, a trial, over the right to try to negotiate a plea 15 16 vourself? 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. THE COURT: Satisfied? 18 19

MR. BLANCHE: Yes, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. BLANCHE: Not from the government.

THE COURT: From the defense?

MR. PAKETT: Not from the defense.

Thank you.

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Mr. Richman will call or you will call,

D2EUFERC Mr. Blanche, Ms. Jones. Not hearing from you, I will assume that we will start at 10:30 or such time as Mr. Richman can get here from Judge Preska's court. MR. PAKETT: That's correct. Thank you. 0 0